

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

ENTERTAINMENT ONE UK LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: 1:18-cv-4926

THE PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED  
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE “A”,

Defendants.

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, ENTERTAINMENT ONE UK LTD. (“eOne” or “Plaintiff”), by its undersigned counsel, hereby complains of the Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified on Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, “Defendants”), and for its Complaint hereby alleges as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 et seq., the Federal Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq., 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)–(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims in this action that arise under the laws of the State of Illinois pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337(a), because the state law claims are so related to the federal claims that they form part of the same case or controversy and derive from a common nucleus of operative facts.

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since each of the Defendants directly

target consumers in the United States, including Illinois, through at least the fully interactive commercial Internet stores operating under the Defendant Domain Names and/or the Online Marketplace Accounts identified in Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, the “Defendant Internet Stores”). Specifically, Defendants are reaching out to do business with Illinois residents by operating one or more commercial, interactive Internet Stores through which Illinois residents can purchase products bearing infringing and/or counterfeit versions of Plaintiff’s trademarks and/or copyright. Each of the Defendants has targeted sales from Illinois residents by operating online stores that offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars and, on information and belief, has sold products bearing counterfeit versions of Plaintiff’s federally registered trademarks and/or copyright to residents of Illinois. Each of the Defendants is committing tortious acts in Illinois, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiff substantial injury in the State of Illinois.

### **INTRODUCTION**

3. This action has been filed by Plaintiff to combat online counterfeiters who trade upon Plaintiff’s reputation and goodwill by selling and/or offering for sale products in connection with Plaintiff’s PEPPA PIG trademarks, which are covered by U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 4,783,931; 3,663,706 and 3,506,452 (“PEPPA PIG”). In addition, the Defendants are selling unauthorized products that are based on and derived from the copyrighted subject matter created by Plaintiff, which is covered by U.S. Copyright Registration, hereinafter referred to as the “PEPPA PIG Work”. The Registration is valid, subsisting, and in full force and effect. A true and correct copy of the federal trademark registration certificates for the marks are attached hereto as Exhibit 1. A true and correct copy of the copyright registration certificate for the PEPPA PIG Work are attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

4. The Defendants create numerous Defendant Internet Stores and design them to

appear to be selling genuine Plaintiff products, while selling inferior imitations of Plaintiff's products. The Defendant Internet Stores share unique identifiers, such as design elements and similarities of the counterfeit products offered for sale, establishing a logical relationship between them and suggesting that Defendants' illegal operations arise out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants attempt to avoid liability by going to great lengths to conceal both their identities and the full scope and interworking of their illegal counterfeiting operation. Plaintiff is forced to file this action to combat Defendants' counterfeiting of Plaintiff's registered trademarks and/or copyright, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing unauthorized PEPPA PIG products over the Internet. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably damaged through consumer confusion, dilution, and tarnishment of its valuable trademarks and/or copyright as a result of Defendants' actions and seeks injunctive and monetary relief.

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant, in that each Defendant conducts significant business in Illinois and in this Judicial District, and the acts and events giving rise to this lawsuit of which each Defendant stands accused were undertaken in Illinois and in this Judicial District. In addition, each defendant has offered to sell and ship infringing products into this Judicial District.

#### **THE PLAINTIFF**

6. Plaintiff, ENTERTAINMENT ONE UK LTD., is a Limited company having its principal place of business in the United Kingdom. It is associated with PEPPA PIG, an animated children's television series based on the Les Pyjamasques book by Romuald Racioppo. ENTERTAINMENT ONE UK LTD is the producer of PEPPA PIG.

7. The PEPPA PIG television series was originally aired on May 31<sup>st</sup> 2004. To date, four seasons have been completely aired, with a fifth currently airing. The series is currently shown

in 180 territories including the United States. There is also a line of Personalised books in which any child can be added into the story with Peppa and her friends. There is also a series of books based on Peppa Pig.

8. Since the initial launch of its original PEPPA PIG brand products, the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work are and have been the subject of substantial and continuous marketing and promotion by Plaintiff. Plaintiff has and continues to widely market and promote its PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work in the industry and to consumers. Plaintiff's promotional efforts include — by way of example but not limitation — substantial print media, the PEPPA PIG website and social media sites, sales materials. A 15-minute film called *Peppa Pig: the Golden Boots* was released on Feburary 14<sup>th</sup>, 2015 alongside several episodes of the TV series. As of December 2015, it had grossed £2,326,328.

9. Below is an example of PEPPA PIG Work and PEPPA PIG trademarks displayed on PEPPA PIG' official website.





10. The PEPPA PIG trademarks are distinctive and identify the merchandise as goods from Plaintiff. The registration for the PEPPA PIG trademarks constitute prima facie evidence of their validity and of Plaintiff's exclusive right to use the PEPPA PIG trademarks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057 (b).

11. The PEPPA PIG trademarks have been continuously used and never abandoned.

12. Plaintiff has expended substantial time, money, and other resources in developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work. As a result, products bearing the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the public, and the trade as being products sourced from Plaintiff.

### **THE DEFENDANTS**

13. Defendants are individuals and business entities who, upon information and belief, reside in the People's Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions. Defendants conduct business throughout the United States, including within Illinois and in this Judicial District, through the operation of the fully interactive commercial websites and online marketplaces operating under the Defendant Internet Stores. Each Defendant targets the United States, including Illinois, and has offered to sell and, on information and belief, has sold and continues to sell counterfeit and infringing PEPPA PIG products to consumers within the United States, including Illinois and in this Judicial District.

### **THE DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT**

14. The success of the PEPPA PIG brand has resulted in its counterfeiting and infringement. Plaintiff has identified numerous domain names linked to fully interactive websites and marketplace listings on platforms such as iOffer and Aliexpress, including the Defendant Internet Stores, which were offering for sale, selling, and importing counterfeit and infringing PEPPA PIG products to consumers in this Judicial District and throughout the United States. Defendants have persisted in creating the Defendant Internet Stores. Internet websites like the Defendant Internet Stores are estimated to receive tens of millions of visits per year and to generate over \$135 billion in annual online sales. According to an intellectual property rights seizures statistics report issued by Homeland Security, the manufacturer's suggested retail price (MSRP) of goods seized by the U.S. government in fiscal year 2013 was over \$1.74 billion, up from \$1.26 billion in 2012. Internet websites like the Defendant Internet Stores are also estimated to contribute to tens of thousands of lost jobs for legitimate businesses and broader economic damages such as lost tax revenue every year.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendants facilitate sales by designing the

Defendant Internet Stores so that they appear to unknowing consumers to be authorized online retailers, outlet stores, or wholesalers selling genuine PEPPA PIG products. Many of the Defendant Internet Stores look sophisticated and accept payment in U.S. dollars via credit cards, Western Union and PayPal. Defendant Internet Stores often include images and design elements that make it very difficult for consumers to distinguish such counterfeit sites from an authorized website. Defendants further perpetuate the illusion of legitimacy by offering “live 24/7” customer service and using indicia of authenticity and security that consumers have come to associate with authorized retailers, including the McAfee® Security, VeriSign®, Visa®, MasterCard®, and PayPal® logos. Plaintiff has not licensed or authorized Defendants to use its PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work, and none of the Defendants are authorized retailers of genuine PEPPA PIG products.

16. Upon information and belief, Defendants also deceive unknowing consumers by using the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work without authorization within the content, text, and/or meta tags of their websites to attract various search engines crawling the Internet looking for websites relevant to consumer searches for PEPPA PIG products. Additionally, upon information and belief, Defendants use other unauthorized search engine optimization (SEO) tactics and social media spamming so that the Defendant Internet Stores listings show up at or near the top of relevant search results and misdirect consumers searching for genuine PEPPA PIG products. Further, Defendants utilize similar illegitimate SEO tactics to propel new domain names to the top of search results after others are shut down. As such, Plaintiff also seeks to disable Defendant Domain Names owned by Defendants that are the means by which the Defendants could continue to sell counterfeit and/or infringing PEPPA PIG products.

17. Defendants often go to great lengths to conceal their identities and often use multiple fictitious names and addresses to register and operate their massive network of Defendant Internet

Stores. For example, to avoid detection, Defendants register Defendant Domain Names using names and physical addresses that are incomplete, contain randomly typed letters, or fail to include cities or states. Other Defendant Domain Names often use privacy services that conceal the owners' identity and contact information. Upon information and belief, Defendants regularly create new websites and online marketplace accounts on various platforms using the identities listed in Schedule A to the Complaint, as well as other unknown fictitious names and addresses. Such Defendant Internet Store registration patterns are one of many common tactics used by the Defendants to conceal their identities, the full scope and interworking of their massive counterfeiting operation, and to avoid being shut down.

18. There are also similarities among the Defendant Internet Stores. For example, some of the Defendant websites have virtually identical layouts. In addition, the counterfeit and/or infringing PEPPA PIG products for sale in the Defendant Internet Stores bear similarities and indicia of being related to one another, suggesting that the counterfeit and/or infringing PEPPA PIG products were manufactured by and come from a common source and that, upon information and belief, Defendants are interrelated. The Defendant Internet Stores also include other notable common features, including use of the same domain name registration patterns, unique shopping cart platforms, accepted payment methods, check-out methods, meta data, illegitimate SEO tactics, HTML user-defined variables, domain redirection, lack of contact information, identically or similarly priced items and volume sales discounts, similar hosting services, similar name servers, and the use of the same text and images.

19. Defendants in this case and defendants in other similar cases against online counterfeiters use a variety of other common tactics to evade enforcement efforts. For example, counterfeiters like Defendants will often register new domain names or online marketplace accounts under new aliases once they receive notice of a lawsuit. Counterfeiters also often move

website hosting to rogue servers located outside the United States once notice of a lawsuit is received. Rogue servers are notorious for ignoring take down demands sent by brand owners. Counterfeitors also typically ship products in small quantities via international mail to minimize detection by U.S. Customs and Border Protection. A 2012 U.S. Customs and Border Protection report on seizure statistics indicated that the Internet has fueled “explosive growth” in the number of small packages of counterfeit and infringing goods shipped through the mail and express carriers.

20. Further, counterfeiters such as Defendants typically operate multiple credit card merchant accounts and PayPal accounts behind layers of payment gateways so that they can continue operation in spite of Plaintiff’s enforcement efforts. Upon information and belief, Defendants maintain off-shore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their PayPal accounts to off-shore bank accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court. Indeed, analysis of PayPal transaction logs from previous similar cases indicates that offshore counterfeiters regularly move funds from U.S.-based PayPal accounts to China-based bank accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

21. Defendants, without any authorization or license from Plaintiff, have knowingly and willfully used and continue to use the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work in connection with the advertisement, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of counterfeit PEPPA PIG products into the United States and Illinois over the Internet. Each Defendant Internet Store offers shipping to the United States, including Illinois, and, on information and belief, each Defendant has offered to sell counterfeit and infringing PEPPA PIG products into the United States, including Illinois.

22. Defendants’ use of the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work in connection with the advertising, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of counterfeit PEPPA PIG products, including the sale of counterfeit and infringing PEPPA PIG products into Illinois, is

likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiff.

**COUNT I**  
**TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND COUNTERFEITING (15 U.S.C. § 1114)**

23. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

24. This is a trademark infringement action against Defendants based on their unauthorized use in commerce of counterfeit imitations of the registered PEPPA PIG trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of infringing goods. The PEPPA PIG trademarks are highly distinctive marks. Consumers have come to expect the highest quality from Plaintiff's products provided under the PEPPA PIG trademarks.

25. Defendants have sold, offered to sell, marketed, distributed, and advertised, and are still selling, offering to sell, marketing, distributing, and advertising products in connection with the PEPPA PIG trademarks without Plaintiff's permission.

26. Plaintiff is the exclusive owner of the PEPPA PIG trademarks. Plaintiff's United States Registration for the PEPPA PIG trademarks (Exhibit 1) are in full force and effect. Upon information and belief, Defendants have knowledge of Plaintiff's rights in the PEPPA PIG trademarks and are willfully infringing and intentionally using counterfeits of the PEPPA PIG trademarks. Defendants' willful, intentional and unauthorized use of the PEPPA PIG trademarks are likely to cause and is causing confusion, mistake, and deception as to the origin and quality of the counterfeit goods among the general public.

27. Defendants' activities constitute willful trademark infringement and counterfeiting under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

28. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law, and if Defendants' actions are not enjoined,

Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of its well-known PEPPA PIG trademarks.

29. The injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff have been directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of counterfeit PEPPA PIG products.

**COUNT II**  
**FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))**

30. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

31. Defendants' promotion, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of counterfeit PEPPA PIG products has created and is creating a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and deception among the general public as to the affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff or the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' counterfeit PEPPA PIG products by Plaintiff.

32. By using the PEPPA PIG trademarks in connection with the sale of counterfeit PEPPA PIG products, Defendants create a false designation of origin and a misleading representation of fact as to the origin and sponsorship of the counterfeit PEPPA PIG products.

33. Defendants' false designation of origin and misrepresentation of fact as to the origin and/or sponsorship of the counterfeit PEPPA PIG products to the general public is a willful violation of Section 43 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

34. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and, if Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to its reputation and the goodwill of its brand.

**COUNT III**  
**VIOLATION OF ILLINOIS UNIFORM DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT**  
**(815 ILCS § 510. et seq.)**

35. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth

in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

36. Defendants have engaged in acts violating Illinois law including, but not limited to, passing off their counterfeit PEPPA PIG products as those of Plaintiff, causing a likelihood of confusion and/or misunderstanding as to the source of their goods, causing a likelihood of confusion and/or misunderstanding as to an affiliation, connection, or association with genuine PEPPA PIG products, representing that their products have Plaintiff's approval when they do not, and engaging in other conduct which creates a likelihood of confusion or misunderstanding among the public.

37. The foregoing Defendants' acts constitute a willful violation of the Illinois Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, 815 ILCS § 510, et seq.

38. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law, and Defendants' conduct has caused Plaintiff to suffer damage to its reputation and goodwill. Unless enjoined by the Court, Plaintiff will suffer future irreparable harm as a direct result of Defendants' unlawful activities.

**COUNT IV**  
**COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT 17 U.S.C. § 501(a)**

39. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

40. PEPPA PIG Work have significant value and have been produced and created at considerable expense.

41. At all relevant times, Plaintiff has been the holder of the pertinent exclusive rights infringed by Defendants, as alleged hereunder, including but not limited to the copyrighted PEPPA PIG Work, including derivative works. PEPPA PIG are the subject of valid Certificate of Copyright Registration issued by the Register of Copyrights. (Exhibit 2). The copyrighted characters include a copyright notice advising the viewer that the PEPPA PIG Work is protected by the Copyright Laws.

42. Each Defendant, without the permission or consent of the Plaintiff, has, and continues to sell online infringing derivative works of the copyrighted PEPPA PIG Work. Each Defendant has violated Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution. Each Defendant's actions constitute infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights protected under the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. §101 et seq.).

43. The foregoing acts of infringement constitute a collective enterprise of shared, overlapping facts and have been willful, intentional, and in disregard of and with indifference to the rights of the Plaintiff.

44. As a result of each Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under copyrights, Plaintiff is entitled to relief pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504 and to its attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §505.

45. The conduct of each Defendant is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Plaintiff have no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§502 and 503, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each Defendant from further infringing Plaintiff's copyright and ordering that each Defendant destroy all unauthorized copies.

**PRAAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1) That Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be temporarily preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:
  - a. using the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable imitations thereof in any manner in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not

- a genuine PEPPA PIG product or is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work;
- b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a genuine PEPPA PIG product or any other product produced by Plaintiff that is not Plaintiff's or not produced under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff and approved by Plaintiff for sale under the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work;
  - c. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendants' counterfeit PEPPA PIG products are those sold under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Plaintiff;
  - d. further infringing the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work and damaging Plaintiff's goodwill;
  - e. otherwise competing unfairly with Plaintiff in any manner;
  - f. shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear any Plaintiff trademarks, including the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work, or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable imitations thereof;
  - g. using, linking to, transferring, selling, exercising control over, or otherwise owning the Online Marketplace Accounts, the Defendant Domain Names, or any other domain name or online marketplace account that is being used to sell or is the means by which Defendants could continue to sell counterfeit PEPPA PIG products; and
  - h. operating and/or hosting websites at the Defendant Domain Names and any other domain

names registered or operated by Defendants that are involved with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product bearing the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work or any reproduction, counterfeit copy or colorable imitation thereof that is not a genuine PEPPA PIG product or not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work; and

2) That Defendants, within fourteen (14) days after service of judgment with notice of entry thereof upon them, be required to file with the Court and serve upon Plaintiff a written report under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Defendants have complied with paragraph 1, a through h, above;

3) Entry of an Order that, at Plaintiff's choosing, the registrant of the Defendant Domain Names shall be changed from the current registrant to Plaintiff, and that the domain name registries for the Defendant Domain Names, including, but not limited to, VeriSign, Inc., Neustar, Inc., Afilias Limited, CentralNic, Nominet, and the Public Interest Registry, shall unlock and change the registrar of record for the Defendant Domain Names to a registrar of Plaintiff's selection, and that the domain name registrars take any steps necessary to transfer the Defendant Domain Names to a registrar of Plaintiff's selection; or that the same domain name registries shall disable the Defendant Domain Names and make them inactive and untransferable;

4) Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those in privity with Defendants and those with notice of the injunction, including any online marketplaces such as iOffer and Alibaba Group Holding Ltd., Alipay.com Co., Ltd. and any related Alibaba entities (collectively, "Alibaba"), social media platforms, Facebook, YouTube, LinkedIn, Twitter, Internet search engines such as Google, Bing and Yahoo, web hosts for the Defendant Domain Names, and domain name registrars, shall:

- a. disable and cease providing services for any accounts through which Defendants

engage in the sale of counterfeit PEPPA PIG products using the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work, including any accounts associated with the Defendants listed on Schedule A;

b. disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of counterfeit and infringing PEPPA PIG products using the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work; and

c. take all steps necessary to prevent links to the Defendant Domain Names identified on Schedule A from displaying in search results, including, but not limited to, removing links to the Defendant Domain Names from any search index; and

5) That Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all profits realized by Defendants by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts herein alleged, and that the amount of damages for infringement of the PEPPA PIG trademarks be increased by a sum not exceeding three times the amount thereof as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117;

6) In the alternative, that Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of \$2,000,000 for each and every use of the PEPPA PIG trademarks and/or PEPPA PIG Work;

7) That Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and  
8) Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

DATED: July 19, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Keith A. Vogt  
Keith A. Vogt (Bar No. 6207971)  
Keith Vogt, Ltd.  
1033 South Blvd., Suite 200  
Oak Park, Illinois 60302  
Telephone: 708-203-4787  
E-mail: keith@vogtip.com

**ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF**